

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/734,671 | 12/12/2003 | Seth A. Foerster | 032,290-112 | 6289 | |
| | 7590 08/24/200 & MYERS LLP | 7 | EXAMINER | | |
| | T CENTER DRIVE | | APANIUS, MICHAEL | | |
| 17TH FLOOR NEWPORT BE | EACH, CA 92660 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3736 | <u> </u> | |
| | | • | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/24/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/734,671 | FOERSTER ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | · | Michael Apanius | 3736 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | • | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 05 March 2007. | | | | | |
| ·— | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4) Claim(s) 49 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>49</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[] - | The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🗂 | Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[|] accepted or b) ☐ objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection t | o the drawing(s) be held in abey | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | i | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| Attachment | c(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| | Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :12/12/03;1/30/06;3/9/06;9/1/06;3/5/07.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. The cancellation of claims 46-48 is acknowledged. It is acknowledged that claim 49 is copied in whole from US 6,567,689.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Although the original disclosure appears to support a mass of solid particulate marker material and an elongate member and an ejector, the original disclosure does not appear to support the mass of solid particulate marker material being disposed within an inner lumen of the elongate member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/734,671 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burton (US 3,741,198) in view of Unger (US 5,123,414). Burton discloses a delivery system for delivering marker material to a target site within a patient, comprising: a syringe (column 5, lines 12-15) filled with a mass of solid particulate marker material (see paragraph bridging columns 3 and 4) disposed within the inner lumen. Burton does not expressly disclose that the syringe has an elongate member or an ejector as set forth in claim 49. Unger teaches a conventional syringe that includes an elongate member having a distal end, a discharge port in the distal end and an inner lumen extending therein to and in fluid communication with the discharge port in the distal end and an ejector which is advancable with and coupled to said elongate member and which is configured to eject particulate marker material from the discharge port in said distal end of said elongate member (see the syringe in figure 5). A syringe including a hollow elongate member and an ejector is very well known and commonly used in the medical art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used the syringe including an elongate member and an ejector as taught by Unger as the syringe in Burton to achieve the predictable result of holding and injecting the marker material.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the previous prior art rejection have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Apanius whose telephone number is (571) 272-5537. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8am-4:30pm.

- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA